

# **Using Competition and Assessment Reports**

School reports are sent to each participating school. They contain detailed information about the performance of students at that school compared to participating students in their region.

This document uses the example of the school report of a fictitious school that has participated in the International Competitions and Assessments for Schools (ICAS) in Science. The format of the school report is common to all subject areas. An explanation is provided for each section of the report.

The report format provides schools and teachers with enhanced and accessible diagnostic information about student strengths and weaknesses both at individual and cohort levels.

Some information provided in the report is only available to schools that have entered 85% or more of their students in any one year level. Their reports allow comparative data tracking between each cohort from Years 2 to 12. This type of information for consecutive years of school is not available from any other assessment program in Australia.

# 2014 Science International Competitions and Assessments for Schools ABC Public School

Reference to 'Region' in this report guide refers to the reporting region that a school has been assigned. A school's location determines a reporting region. A reporting region is required so the performance of a student can be appropriately compared to the results of all students in the same region.

Dear Principal

Thank you for taking part in the 2014 International Competitions and Assessments for Schools - Science. This report provides your school's results. Details about each year level that participated can be found on subsequent pages.

Year 7				0 Test Score 45
	School	Region	School	
Average Score	27.6	24.8	Desien	
Standard Deviation	5.7	7.5	Region	
	•	The a	average score ach	nieved by students at this school and by the students
		from	the region. In this	example, the average score achieved by Year 9
Year 8		stude	ents at this school	was higher than the average score from the region. 45
	School	Region	School	
Average Score	29.3	23.9	Decien	
Standard Deviation	4.6	7.4	Region	
Veer 0				
rear 9			7	0 Test Score 45
	School	Region	School	
Average Score	26.6	23.3	Begion	
Standard Deviation	5.5	6.9	liegion	
Year 10				0 Test Score 45
	School	Region	School	
Average Score	25.8	22.3	Design	
Standard Deviation	5.9	6.8	Region	

This is a graphical representation of Year 9 students from the school in comparison with Year 9 students of the region. The shaded upper bar shows students from this school and the lower white bar shows students from the region.

The length of the bar represents the range of scores achieved by 80% of students with the top 10% and the bottom 10% of scores removed. The vertical line represents the average score.

The bottom 10% is removed because it may include scores of students who have made no serious attempt or who may suffer some serious disadvantage. The upper 10% will include students who are well in advance of their peers. If the highest and lowest achievers are included the resulting graph would stretch from 0% to 100% and would not provide any information about the bulk of students.

	School	Region	
Average Score	33.0	26.4	
Standard Deviation	5.6	7.1	

School		
Region		
	average score	performance range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10% (not shown if fewer than 10 students)

Students in your school received 4 High Distinction, 25 Distinction, 59 Credit, 12 Merit and 35 Participation certificates in the 2014 International Competitions and Assessments for Schools - Science.

# AWARD OF CERTIFICATES

High Distinction: top 1% of students in each year level in each region Distinction: the next 10% of students in each year level in each region Credit: the next 25% of students in each year level in each region Merit: the next 10% of in each year level in each region Participation: all others.

# Section 1 Year 2 to Year 12 2014 Science - Year 2 to Year 12 - Results on a Common Scale

The graph below shows all year levels in Australia on a common scale.

		.ow Performance on a Common Scale	High
Year 12	School		
	Region		
Year 11	School		
	Region		
Year 10	School		
	Region		
Year 9	School		
	Region		
Year 8	School		
	Region		
Year 7	School		
	Region		
Year 6	Region		
Year 5	Region		
Year 4	Region		
Year 3	Region		
Year 2	Region		
		average scaled score scaled score range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10% (not shown if fewer than 10 students)	

This section compares the performance of each cohort of students from Year 2 to Year 12 within this school (if available) and within the region. The length of the bar represents the range of scores achieved within each cohort by 80% of students with the top 10% and the bottom 10% of scores removed. The vertical line represents the average score for the cohort.

To allow this comparison to be made, the scores of all students are placed on a common scale using a statistical method known as test equating. This method requires the use of questions that are common to two or more examination papers, called link items. This allows a series of pair-wise comparisons to be made between cohorts to place each cohort on a common scale.

This graph shows that, as we would expect, the average score increases for each successive cohort. Thus the average score for Year 10 students in the region is higher than the average score for Year 9 students, and the average score for Year 11 students is higher than for Year 10, and so on.

# <u>NOTE</u>

Comparative statistics may be misleading if only a small number of students participated in the Assessment. Some statistical procedures are unreliable if the population within a cohort is fewer than twenty so some types of reporting are not available to schools with low entry numbers.

Schools that have

- five or fewer entries in a Year level do not get any detailed statistics
- ten or fewer entries in a Year level do not get 80 per cent ranges (only the average is provided)
- twenty or fewer entries in a Year level do not get Strengths / Weaknesses provided.

## Understanding the Common Scale

The common scale contains scores which are not raw test scores (such as 34 out of 50 marks) but scaled scores. Scaled scores represent raw scores that have been converted to fit a single common scale across year levels and calendar years.

Scaled scores are helpful because

- all students in all year levels can be compared on the same scale

- the scale is consistent from one year to the next, so student performance can be compared over time.

# Construction of the Common Scale

The assessment papers for adjacent years have some questions in common. These questions are called link items. The link items provide information about the difficulty of the questions for different year groups in the same calendar year. This information is used to calculate the scaled scores for students across the different year levels.

# Section 2.1 Year 7 2014 Science - Year 7 - Summary

The graph below shows the performance of your Year 7 stud

This section compares your students' performances in each of the skill areas assessed with the performance of all students who participated. Year 7 Science assesses skills in 5 areas: Observing/Measuring, Interpreting, Predicting/Concluding, Investigating and Reasoning/ Problem solving. These skill areas are different for each subject.

	School	Region
Number Of Questions	45	45
Average Score	27.6	24.8
Standard Deviation	5.7	7.5

0 Test Score 45 School Region performance range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10% average score

The standard deviation is a measure of the spread of students' scores. For a normal distribution, 68% of all scores lie within the range average plus or minus the standard deviation.

# Section 2.2 Year 7 2014 Science - Year 7 - Analysis by

The graphs below show the performance of your Year 7 stude all scores fall within the range 17.3 to 32.3.

# **Observing/Measuring**

	School	Region
Number Of Questions	6	6
Average Score	3.7	3.6

	0 Score in Observing/Measuring	6
School		
Region		

In this case, 68% of the school's scores fall within the range 21.9

(27.6-5.7=21.9) to 33.3 (27.6+5.7=33.3), while for the region 68% of

Questions 1, 2, 5, 30, 36, 41

# Interpreting

	School	Region
Number Of Questions	10	10
Average Score	6.1	6.1

This table compares the performance in the Investigating skill area, of students from this school with the performance of students from the region. The average score for this school (3.9) was slightly higher than for the region (3.4).



# Investigating

Average Score

	School	Region
Number Of Questions	7	7
Average Score	3.9	3.4

Questions 3, 15, 16, 17, 22, 24, 34

# **Reasoning/Problem solving**

	School	Region
Number Of Questions	10	10
Average Score	5.9	5.0

Questions 18, 23, 26, 27, 29, 35, 38, 39, 44, 45

(	Score in Interpreting	10
School		
Region		

This graph compares the performance in the Investigating skill area, of students from this school with the performance of students from the region. In this example, the average score for the school is slightly higher than for the region. However, looking at the spread of scores, the difference between the average scores is probably too small to be statistically significant.





Secti	on 2.3 Year 7										ú	
201	4 Science - Year 7 - Qu	estion	Analysis		_		2		ect	ect	nes	
	The Question content column list						mpé	ver	, ro	Sorr	eak	
The ta	The table below lists all questions in order of the skill each question is assessir			ng.			nu	NSU	ge	ge	Ň/	
			-	-			ion	cta	ntaj	nta	gth	
							lest	rre	rce rce	rce Ce	Len	
	Question content			Area	Area assessed		o n	ပိ	Sc pe	Re pe	Sti	
$\frown$	Calculate which see-saw will be balanced			Reas	oning/Problem	solving	41	A	25	17		
suo	Compare the masses of different types of nut	S		Pred	icting/Concludin	ig 🖉	31	В	11	20		
esti	Draw a conclusion using information from a d	iagram		Pred	icting/Concludin	ig /	30	С	39	25	S	
ð	The Area assessed column list	s the		Inves	stigating	/	17	C	28	27		
Sult	horder skill area which each que	estion is		Pred	icting/Concludin	g 	8	C	28	28		
Diffi	assessing. There are four skill a	roas in		Reas	soning/Problem	solving	44		33	31	0	
	Veer 7 Science Other subjects v			Reas	oning/Problem	solving	30	A	42	36	3	
	Year / Science Other subjects v	viii nave	data	Reas	oning/Problem	solving	45	B	64	37	S	
	different skill areas.			Pred	ictina/Ooncludin	a	20	D	50	37		
	Measure the length of an object relative to the	e length of a	known object	Obse	erving/Measurin	g	24	B	50	39		
	Recognise that the density of an object is inde	ependent of i	ts size	Pred	icting/Concludin	ig	23	С	39	41		
	Draw a conclusion based on information in a	graph		Pred	Predicting/Concluding		38	С	56	42	S	
	Order the size of magnified beetles			Obse	rving/Measurin	g	43	D	53	44		
	Identify factors affecting the rates of chemical	ntify factors affecting the rates of chemical reactions			stigating		19	D	50	44		
	Calculate the duration of the transit of Venus			Inter	oreting		26	С	58	45	S	
	Determine the variable to be kept constant to	ensure a fair	test	hves	stigating		27	A	58	45	S	
	Interpret information in a graph			Inter	oreting		10	C	56	45		
	Deduce the output of a logic circuit		lists each question	Reas	soning/Problem	solving	37	LC	64	50	S	
	Determine the with the research difficult		i lists each question	Dose	icting/Measuring	y va	15		75	52	5	
		at the top	and the least difficult	Reas	oning/Problem	solvina	29		64	55		
	Predict a cha	ng to the	region's scores. The	Interr	oreting	Solving	21	C C	53	56		
	Calculate and most difficult question	was Q4'	1 with 17% of students	Reas	oning/Problem	solvina	36	A	72	59		
	Determine th in the region giving the	e correct	answer, 25% of	Reas	oning/Problem	solving	40	D	72	60		
	Determine th students at the school	gave a d	correct answer for this	Inter	oreting		42	A	78	60	S	
	Interpret info guestion. The least dif	fficult que	estion was Q2 with 80%	Pred	icting/Concludin	ig	28	D	86	61	S	
	Draw a concl of students from the re	agion and	1 89% of students at	Pred	icting/Concludir	The c	orre	ct a	nswe	er co	lum	n lists
	Infer the behavior this school giving the	correct re	snonse	Pred	icting/Concludin	the co	orrec	tang	werg	. Δ	R (	Cor D
	Use graphica this series giving the	Joincourt		Interp	oreting	for m	ultink			,, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	tion	s 01 D
	Recognise ways to improve the accuracy of a	n experimen	tal procedure	Inves	stigating		Junpi			Jues	lion	5.
	Determine which vegetable best resembles the	ne structure o	of lungs	Reas	soning/Problem	solving	13	A	69 75	64		
	Praw a conclusion based on tabulated data			Brod	oreung		10		70	67		
	Interpret information from a food web			Pred	icting/Concludin	ig ia	1		83	68	S	
	Match an object to its density			Pred	icting/Concludin		22	В	75	68		
	Measure the length of a skull using a scale			Obse	erving/Measurin	a	4	В	92	71	S	
	Use the key provided to identify the type of bacterium			Inter	oreting	0	9	В	75	72		
	Describe the motion of an object moving under	er the influen	ce of gravity	Inter	oreting		34	D	78	72		
sus	Predict a flight time from tabulated data			Pred	icting/Concludin	g	33	D	86	73	S	
stic	Complete a flow chart			Inter	oreting		18	В	89	73	S	
Que	Use information provided to solve a problem			Reas	oning/Problem	solving	6	D	69	78		
sy (	Arrange a number of objects in order of size			Obse	erving/Measurin	g 🔪	5	D	94	79	S	
Еа	Interpret information provided in a graph			Inter	oreting	•	3	D	89	80		
くノ	i vieasure a geological feature using a scale pl	rovided		I Ubse	erving/Measurin	q	2	I A	89	80		

# Understanding Question Difficulty, Strengths and Weaknesses

Question difficulty is determined by the number of students in the Region who answer the questions correctly. Strength in a question (indicated by 'S') means that students in your school performed significantly better on that question compared to the performance of students in the Region. Weakness in a question (indicated by 'W') means that students in your school performed poorly in comparison. Strengths and weaknesses are not shown if fewer than 20 students from your school participated.

# Section 2.4 Year 7 2014 Science - Year 7 - Student Response Analysis

The table below provides a detailed description of the skill assessed by each question and the percentage of your students who chose each response option. The correct answer is the white, unshaded option.

# The skill area assessed by the question is listed in the **Area assessed** column.

÷

					Sch	ooi pe	ercent	age	_ <u></u>
	Question content		Area assessed		Α	в	с	D	Nor atte
1	Interpret information from a food web		Predicting/Concluding	g 🚽	3	8	83	6	0
2	Measure a geological feature using a scale provided		Observing/Measuring	a 🖌	89	6	3	3	0
3	Interpret information provided in a graph		Interpreting		0	0	11	89	0
4	Measure the length of a skull using a scale		Observing/Measuring		6	92	0	3	0
5	Arrange a number of objects in order of size		Observing/Measuring	1	0	3	3	94	0
6	The <b>Question content</b> column lists		Reasoning/Problem s	solving	14	6	11	69	0
7	a detailed descriptor of the skill		Observing/Measuring		25	75	0	0	0
8	assessed by each question.		Predicting/Concluding	g	44	25	28	3	0
9			Interpreting		14	75	8	3	0
10	Interpret information in a graph		Interpreting		17	25	56	0	3
11	Interpret information presented in a table		Interpreting		17	75	6	3	0
12	Draw a conclusion based on tabulated data		Predicting/Concluding	g	11	11	6	72	0
13	Determine which vegetable best resembles the structu	are of lungs	Reasoning/Problem s	solving	69	19	6	6	0
14	Infer the behaviour of gases		Predicting/Concluding	g	6	0	86	8	0
15	Determine the direction of forces to produce synclines	and anticlines	Predicting/Concluding	g	6	11	25	58	0
16	Draw a conclusion about the relative age of rock strate	1	Reasoning/Problem s	solving	50	47	0	3	0
17	Recognise features of a fair test		Investigating		11	22	28	39	0
18	Complete a flow chart		Interpreting		0	89	3	8	0
19	Identify factors affecting the rates of chemical reaction	IS	Investigating		17	14	19	50	0
20	Predict the angle at which a ray will be reflected		Predicting/Concluding	g	6	33	11	50	0
21	Predict a change in mass		Interpreting		42	6	53	0	0
22	Match an objed These columns list the res	ponses, both correct and inc	orrect, to		19	75	0	6	0
23		ons of students from this scho	ol The		3	0	39	58	0
24	Measure the le the manuple choice queen	litiple choice question is foun	d in a white		11	50	33	6	0
25	Draw a conclus COTTECT answer to each multi				6	6	14	/5	0
26	Calculate the o Unshaded Cell. Each multip		17	17	58	8			
27	International response (the key). The incorrect response (the distractors) are in the						6	3	0
28	Description for the students						0	00	0
29	Draw a careful who did not select one of A. B. C or D.						04 20	0	
21	Compare the m	-, _ ,			11	47	39	59	0
32	Use graphical (In this example, the correct	t answer to Question 20 is D	The correct	<u> </u>	6	1/	0 81	0	
33	Predict a flight				3	6	6	86	0
34	Describe the m	% of students in this school.	I ne distractor		6	14	3	78	0
35	Becognise way A drew 6% of students, dis	stractor B drew 33% of stude	nts and		19	0	17	64	0
36	Calculate and distractor C drew 11% of s	tudents. No students made a	a non-attempt.	olvina	72	22	3	3	0
37	Deduce the out		•	olving	6	28	64	3	0
38	Draw a conclus Distractors are plausible b	ut incorrect options that are c	designed to	orving	0	8	56	36	0
39	Becognise a st or a call to the upper a call	at incorrect options that are t		olvina	42	19	11	28	0
40	Determine the		s is a powerful	olvina	3	3	22	72	0
41	Calculate which tool made available to tead	chers in this report. An analys	sis of the	olving	25	25	44	6	0
42	Determine the reasons students had for c	hoosing a distractor could po	pint to specific	Siving	78	17	3	3	0
43	Order the size weaknesses in student und	derstanding of the subject. A	nalvsis of the		19	14	14	53	0
44	Recognise the number of non-attempt ma	v also be useful		olvina	28	31	33	8	0
45	Use a formula			olvina	22	64	8	6	0
			Ŭ Ŭ						J

# **Understanding Student Response Analysis**

For each multiple choice question there are four response options. The correct answer is the white, unshaded option. Incorrect options are called distractors and are shown in grey. Examining the distractors can give a useful insight into the type of assistance needed by students who have answered a question incorrectly. For example, if a number of students answered 'B' where the correct response was 'A', examining the distractor 'B' can help identify a lack of skill or understanding that led the students to the wrong response.

Percentile rank indicates where each student is placed in relation to other students both from this school and its region. Students receive awards based on their percentile rank in the region.

The table below lists all students ordered by class (if provided) and then by name.

	Class	Student Name	Score	Award	School percentile	Region percentile		TAP-ID	PIN	
135	С	KAREN, HARPOON	28	Credit	60	66	]	0123-4567-89	1234	
134	E	PETER, PRESNER	28	Credit	60	66	1	0123-4567-89	1234	
133	Н	PRITAM, PARVIN	33	Credit	87	86	1	0123-4567-89	1234	
132	Н	SHIWAKRISHNAN, BRIAN	29	Credit	67	71	1	0123-4567-89	1234	
131	Н	STCLAIR, SEAN	26	Merit	40	57	1	0123-4567-89	1234	
130	L	DE SOUZA, DISHA	34	Distinction	93	89		0123-4567-89	1234	
129	0	CHANDRA, AVISHEK	26	Merit	40	57		arde are grante	d to at	udonte
128	Т	CHEE, CHARMAINE	37	Distinction	99	96				uueniis
127	(	BALI, SHAMAR	22	Participation	20	39	l wn	o are placed in	the toll	owing
126		CASER, PAUL	18	Participation	13	23	pe	rcentile bands:		
125		BHAVESH, RAM	17	Participation	7	19	Hig	gh Distinction (9	99% to	100%)
124		KRISHNA, ARESH	27	Merit	47	62	Dis	stinction (89% t	0.98%)	,
123		HA, LUCIDNA	31	Credit	73	79		adit (61% to 88	0/00/0/ 0/1	
122		PERERA, ROSHIN	33	Credit	87	86			/0)	
121		YEE, VALERIE	25	Participation	27	53	IVIE	erit (54% to 63%	o)	
							Pa	rticipation (0%	to 53%	)

Shamar Bali is placed at the 20th percentile of the school and at the 39th percentile for the region. Because the average score for Shamar Bali's school is higher than the average score for the region, it is likely that students will be ranked higher in the region than in the school. Shamar Bali was awarded a Participation based on their percentile rank.

# NOTE:

Students placed at the 100th percentile are students who have achieved the highest scores\*. Students are ranked according to their scores so that those who are placed in the lowest percentile will have scores lower than the rest of their peers.

\* Students who fall within the 100th percentile may not necessarily be eligible for medals. Teachers should seek confirmation from EAA.

### Student Reports

Individual student reports and certificates can be found at the end of this school report. The running number shown on the left is also printed in the bottom right corner of each student report so you can easily find the corresponding student report (numbers are in descending order). Each student has an individual TAP-ID and PIN. They are listed here for your reference only and should be held securely. The TAP-ID and PIN are printed on the student letter and can be used by parents to logon to online reports for students.

Section 2.6 Year 7

# 2014 Science - Year 7 - Student Results in Achievement Order

The table below shows student results in order of achievement. Students are ordered by highest to lowest score achieved. Questions are ordered by level of difficulty. Correct answers are shown in white. Incorrect answers and questions not attempted are shown in grey.

	v	$\forall$	asy	ğ	nes	stion	su																															D	ficu	ğ	lues	tion	S	^					
		4	4	5 1	8	2	~	-	Ŧ	13.0	34 1	0	37 3	0	1	03	8	1 21	117	20	15	25	26	28 2	234	<u></u>	8	0	7 3	92	242	2 24	44	16	45	ε	31	9	35 (	20	2	324	000						
Student Name	Score																																																
CHEE, CHARMAINE	37	5	$\dot{\langle}$	Ń	Ś	5	5	Ń	Ń	5	5	0	$\dot{\leftarrow}$	2	A /	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	ш	5	5	Ś	$\overline{}$	$\overline{\ }$	4	>	>		>	>	>	>	>	>	5	В	0	>	-					
DE SOUZA, DISHA	34	>	$\mathbf{\dot{\mathbf{f}}}$	Ċ	5	5	5	Ń	Ń	5	Ń	5	K	2	2	2	2	A	>	>	ш	ш	>	5	5	Δ	5		>	>	>	>	>	>	ш	∢	>	>	A	5	5	A	0	_					
PRITAM, PARVIN	33	>	$\overline{}$	Ń	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	>	>	>	>	>	>	۶	۲	>	5			m		>	0	4	>	>	>	ш	>	>	>	, 4	5	F	>						
PERERA, ROSHIN	33	>	$\overline{\ }$	Ń	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	2	2	2	2	>	>	>	>	ш	>	∢	>	5	5	m	1		> ()	>	>		ш	>	۲	>	C	>	×	5	4	0	_					
HA, LUCIDNA	31	5	╞	K	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	╞	2	2	The second secon	<b>&gt;</b>	>	>		>	>	>	5	5	5			<u>&gt;</u>	~		×	>	∢	>	>		>	∢	U U	A	× ▼	0						
SHIWAKRISHNAN, BRIAN	29	>	$\dot{\}$	Ń	5	5	5	Ń	Ń	5	5	5	$\dot{\langle}$	2	2	2	2	>	>	В	ш	>		>	5	е В	5		> 0		A	<	ш	>	Ω	>	>	ш	C	4	5	8	0						
KAREN, HARPOON	28	>	$\mathbf{\dot{\mathbf{b}}}$	Ń	5	5	5	D	Ń	5	5	5	<b>∧</b>	2	2	>	>	>	>	>	∢	>	>	>	5			> ()			>	A	Ш	ш	>	>	>			5	A	A	0						
PETER, PRESNER	28	5	F	<b>~</b>	5	5	5	5	5	5	Ŕ	K	₹	╞	2	H	<b>&gt;</b>	>	>	B	ш	∢	ш	5	5			> ()	2	┝	A	<		∢	>	>		>	A	5		<b>&gt;</b> √	>						
KRISHNA, ARESH	27	>	$\dot{\langle}$	Ń	5	5	5	5		Ú	5	5	$\dot{\langle}$	2	2	2	T T T T	B	B	>	∢	>	>	>	5	5	5	> ()	$\overline{\}$	>	>	>		>	>	ш	C	C	A	A	A	A B							
STCLAIR, SEAN	26	>	$\overline{\ }$	Ń	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	$\overline{}$		>	>		× «	O	∢	>	>	۲	>	5	5	5			4	>	A	∢	>	Ω	∢	>	C	∢	A	V	0	>						
CHANDRA, AVISHEK	26	>	╞	Ń	5	5	5	Ń	5	5	5	5	F	>		>		>	>	>	>	>	∢	5	5		✓		0	>		>	>	∢	ш	ш	∢	C	A	A	A	1	>						
YEE, VALERIE	25	>	$\dot{\langle}$	Ń	5	5	>	Ú	5	5	5	5	× ▼	2	2		0	8	8		>	>	>	>	5		<u>—</u> В	n N		0		B	8	>	>	>	>	C	>	U U	V	> 0							
BALI, SHAMAR	22	>	$\overline{\ }$	Ń	5	5	5	Ú	5	5	5	0	8	>		0	>	>	0	>	ш	∢	>	>	5	В		m		0 m	0	4	>	В	C		>	C	>	5	5	4	0						
CASER, PAUL	18	5	× ∢	Ľ	5	5	5	5	Ď	5	5		8	>	╞	F		0		>	>	ш	>	ш	B	B		K	╞	1	0	>		ш	>		C	ш	∢	A		A	B	_					
BHAVESH, RAM	17	В	8	2		m	5	∢	U	U	Ā	K	F	<b>&gt;</b>	2		ш m	>	0	ш	>	Ш	>	5	5	— Ш	— Ю	m		> 	>	A	B	>	ш	ш	>	C	5	5	8	> ∞							
			1	-		1	1	1		1		1	1										]	1		1	1	-	-		-						]	1	1					1					
	All 15	5 st	pn	<u> </u>	ts t	> 2	lê l	e e	ab	<u>e</u> .																											0	In C	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	00	nt n	of	15	stu 333	e e	ints	s c	×e ve	e
		ñ N N	ī	Ĭ	0	5	5	D	Ň																												3	ŝ	ت د	٥ ک		~~~	5	) ) x	3	Ē	b	ì	

# Understanding the Achievement / Difficulty Pattern

The table is expected to show a triangular pattern. The white space containing ticks that indicate correct responses will gradually reduce as you move down the list of students from higher to lower achieving students. Generally there will be more incorrect responses on the right as the questions are ordered from easiest to hardest. Shaded vertical areas for a question in predominantly white space may signal areas of weakness. Similarly vertical white space among shaded items may indicate areas of strength.

# Section 2.7 Year 8 2014 Science - Year 8 - Development of Students Over Time

The graph below shows the development of current Year 8 students for the past seven years. Schools that have participated for eight or more years can access this additional information online.

	l	_OW	Development of current Year 8 students over time	High
Year 8 - This year	School			
	Region			
Year 7 - Last year	School			
	Region			
Year 6 - 2 years ago	Region			
Year 5 - 3 years ago	Region			
Year 4 - 4 years ago	Region			
Year 3 - 5 years ago	Region			
		average scaled score	scaled score range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10% (not shown if fewer than 10 students)	

This graph compares the performance of this Year 8 group of students in this school\* over a number of years with corresponding students in the region. In this example the current Year 8 students in this school are compared with their region performance in the previous years (when they were in Year 7 to Year 3). The average performance of all students measured on the same scale has improved over time.

This graph compares the performance over a number of years of different groups/Year levels in this school with corresponding students in the region. In this example the performance of Year 8 students in the school are compared to all Year 8 participants in the region in the previous seven calendar years (if available). This allows you to answer questions like 'Is this year's Year 8 doing as well as last year's Year 8?'

# Section 2.8 Year 8 2014 Science - Comparison of Year 8 Students Over Time

The graph below compares the performance of Year 8 for the past seven calendar years. Schools that have participated for eight or more years can access this additional information online.

	Lo	ow Comparative performance of Year 8 students over time	High
2014 - Year 8	School		
	Region		
<b>2013</b> - Year 8	School		
	Region		
2012 - Year 8	School		
	Region		
<b>2011</b> - Year 8	School		
	Region		
<b>2010</b> - Year 8	School		
	Region		
2009 - Year 8	School		
	Region		
2008 - Year 8	School		
	Region		
		average scaled score scaled score range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10% (not shown if fewer than 10 students)	

\*The performance of any group from one year to another may not be strictly comparable because exactly the same students may not be present in successive years due to transfers and absences, but broad comparisons can be made.

# Section 2.9 Year 8 2014 Science - Year 8 - Individual Student Development

The table below lists all students ordered by raw score and shows the development of current Year 8 students. The circles 🔿 show the individual student performance for each year they participated. Each vertical line shows the average performance in Australia.

					2013 Year 7 - 2012 Year 6 - 2011 Year 5 -			
				2010	) Year 4			
			200	9 Year 3 -				
	Student Name	Score	Low					High
103	LAWRENCE, CHARLENE	38					(7)	٦
105	MACLEANS, BERTHA	36		•			(7)	_
115	BARR, MATTHEW	35					(7)	
107	KOM, JASON	34			-	-	8	
98	RIBENA, BERNICE	33	Charlene Lawre	ence's	performance		7-18	
106	LA MAISON, DENZEL	33	over the last tw	o years	s is well above		7	
119	HA, XIN FAN	33	the average, ev	/en bet	ter than the		8	
111	CONOR, DESIREE	32	Vear 8 average	in the	region This		7-18	
96	WILSON, ERICA	32					8	
95	SABASTIAN, ALICE	31	student s perio	mance	e is snowing a		(7)−−+8	
114	PRASAD, YASH	30	steady progres	sion th	rough the years.		8)	
108	KARNA, SALESH	30				$\bigcirc$		
120	LAHUJAN, SHAYAMALAN	30					8	
113	VITORI, DESMOND	30					8	
99	STONER, CURTIS	29					78	
118	WEOK, LUIGI	29					8	
109	CHAN, NEIL	27					8)	
100	DEVAAN, PRACHI	27				7		
112	ZIMMER, MARCUS	27					8	
97	CHEE, ROSANA	27					8	
104	PRASAD, SANYA	26					(8)	
101	JACKSON, RIA	26				7	→⑧	
116	JAYASINGHE, AMAN	23				8		
102	SAMSON, SUGUNA	23				8		
117	SINGH, WATAN	21				8		
110	GEORGINA, CAITLIN	19						
					1			
F	This table lists all students f	rom a s	inale	Indivi	idual student's p	erforn	nance	
	cohort within the school. The	a etudo	nte are	ie ind	licated by a circle	a whic	h	
	ordered from the highest rev		at the	shou	Id be compared	to the	regional	
				SHOU			regional	
Ľ	top of the table down to low	est raw	score.	avera	age performance	for tr	lat year.	

The graph shows the performance of each individual student over a number of years. The vertical lines show the average performances for the region for each year. In this case the lines show the averages for the past years when these students were in Year 7, Year 6, Year 5, Year 4 and Year 3.

# 2014 Science

# International Competitions and Assessments for Schools

Dear Arnesh

2

3

Thank you for participating in the 2014 International Competitions and Assessments for Schools - Science. You scored **25 out of 45.** Your score was **in the top 33 percent** of Year 10 participants in the Region.

# 2014 Science Performance

The graph below shows your 2014 Science score and your performance in each of the different areas assessed.



# **Comparative Science Performance**

Students in the Region from Year 2 to Year 12 participated in the International Competitions and Assessments for Schools - Science. The graph below compares your performance to year levels above and below (where available for the last eight years).



performance range excluding the top 10% and bottom 10%

This section compares Arnesh's performance in each of the skill areas with the performance of all students who sat ICAS-Science. The graph indicates which areas may be strengths and weaknesses for Arnesh.

Arnesh performed above average in Observing/Measuring, Interpreting and Investigating but is below average in the skill areas of Predicting/Concluding and Reasoning/Problem solving . This result indicates the areas where Arnesh can improve.

This section tracks Arnesh's performance in previous years. This allows for the measurement of Arnesh's progress over time, relative to the other students in Arnesh's year.

This graph compares Arnesh's performance this year to the performance of the other Year 10 students.

Arnesh has shown significant progression since Year 7. When he was in Year 7, Arnesh was below the year average. In Year 8, Arnesh improved over Year 7 and managed to achieve a score above average. Arnesh continued to achieve a score above average in Year 9 and Year 10.

59